

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/065,260

Applicant(s)

WANG ET AL.

Examiner

Katherine A. Bareford

Art Unit

1762

All Participants:

(1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(2) Mr. DiConza.

Status of Application: after amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 23, 29-30

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. DiConza agreed to an Examiner's Amendment as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)